International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Technology
ISSN 2582-7359, Peer Reviewed Journal, Impact Factor 6.325
www.ijmrtjournal.com

RESKILLING & UPSKILLING STRATEGIES FOR THE "LOST ACADEMIC GENERATION"

[1]Ms. Himani Bagga, [2]Jasnoor Kaur, [3]Sukhman Kaur
[1]Assistant Professor, [2,3]Students, Department of Business Studies
Baba Farid College of Engineering & Technology, Bathinda

Abstract

The COVID-19 pandemic triggered an unparalleled disruption in global education systems, giving rise to what is now widely recognized as the "Lost Academic Generation"; a cohort of learners whose academic progression, cognitive development, and employability skills were significantly compromised due to prolonged institutional closures, remote learning constraints, and socio-economic disparities. In India, the impact was particularly severe, as digital divides, uneven access to learning resources, and limited pedagogical preparedness intensified learning loss and widened pre-existing skill gaps. This paper explores the urgent necessity for structured reskilling and upskilling strategies to rehabilitate this generation academically and professionally, with a focused lens on the Indian educational and workforce ecosystem.

Using a comparative analytical framework, the study evaluates the effectiveness of government-led skilling initiatives vis-à-vis private-sector interventions in addressing post-pandemic learning deficits and workforce readiness. Empirical evidence, including recent Indian studies, indicates substantial learning losses that being approximately 0.7 standard deviations in mathematics after nearly 18 months of school closures, highlighting the long-term consequences on foundational competencies and higher-order skills. Government programs such as the Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY) are found to play a crucial role in ensuring scale, inclusivity, and equitable access, particularly for marginalized and rural populations. However, these initiatives often face challenges related to curriculum responsiveness, speed of implementation, and alignment with rapidly evolving industry requirements.

In contrast, private-sector skilling efforts, exemplified by corporate-led digital and AI training programs from organizations such as TCS and Infosys, demonstrate greater agility, technological relevance, and direct industry alignment. These initiatives effectively bridge the gap between academic knowledge and employable skills but remain limited in reach and accessibility when operating independently. The findings underscore that neither sector alone can adequately address the magnitude and complexity of the post-pandemic skill crisis.

Keywords: Lost Academic Generation, Reskilling, Upskilling, COVID-19 Learning Loss, Public-Private Partnerships, Skill Development in India, Workforce Readiness

Introduction

The COVID-19 pandemic precipitated one of the most profound disruptions in the history of global education, abruptly shifting millions of learners from structured, in-person classrooms to prolonged and often inequitable remote learning environments. Across K–12 and higher education, this sudden transition exposed systemic vulnerabilities such as limited digital infrastructure, uneven teacher preparedness, and socio-economic disparities in access to learning resources. As a consequence, a significant cohort of learners have emerged commonly referred to as the *"Lost Academic Generation"*, characterized not merely by interrupted schooling but by deep and persistent learning deficits that threaten long-term educational and economic outcomes.

The impact of this disruption extends well beyond conventional academic underachievement. While measurable learning loss in foundational subjects such as mathematics, science, and language has been widely documented, the more critical concern lies in the growing misalignment between the competencies possessed by this generation and those demanded by the contemporary labor market. As Schwab and Zahidi (2020) emphasize, the future of work is increasingly shaped by automation, digitalization, and continuous innovation, rendering traditional qualifications insufficient in the absence of adaptable skills. Consequently, the Lost Academic Generation faces a dual challenge: recovering missed academic learning while simultaneously acquiring future-oriented skills required in an Industry 4.0-driven economy.

Compounding this challenge is the erosion of essential soft skills and transversal competencies. Extended isolation, reduced peer interaction, and limited experiential learning during the pandemic years have significantly affected students' communication abilities, collaborative skills, emotional intelligence, and complex problem-solving capacities. Simultaneously, gaps in digital literacy, data interpretation, artificial intelligence awareness, and technology-enabled work practices have become increasingly apparent. According to the World Economic Forum (2023), these soft skills and digital competencies are no longer complementary but foundational to employability and career resilience, particularly in knowledge-intensive and service-driven economies such as India.

Within the Indian context, the urgency of addressing these deficits is amplified by the country's demographic profile and economic aspirations. With a large youth population poised to enter the workforce, failure to effectively reskill and upskill this generation risks transforming a demographic dividend into a demographic liability. Recognizing this, both the Government of India (GoI) and major Indian Multinational Corporations (MNCs) have launched a range of skilling initiatives aimed at mitigating learning loss and enhancing employability. Government-led programs emphasize scale, inclusion, and foundational skill development, while corporate-led interventions prioritize industry relevance, technological proficiency, and immediate workforce readiness.

This paper builds upon existing literature on pandemic-induced learning loss and skill mismatches by undertaking a comparative analysis of reskilling and upskilling strategies adopted by the Government of India and leading Indian MNCs. By critically examining their respective strengths, limitations, and outcomes, the study seeks to identify which entity is better positioned to address the multifaceted skills deficit of the Lost Academic Generation. Furthermore, the paper proposes a collaborative framework for optimal strategy implementation, emphasizing the role of synergistic public–private engagement in creating a resilient, future-ready workforce capable of sustaining India's long-term socio-economic growth.

2. Review of Literature

2.1 The Crisis of the "Lost Academic Generation"

The COVID-19 pandemic precipitated a systemic and unprecedented educational crisis, fundamentally altering the learning trajectories of students across the globe. Prolonged school and university closures, emergency transitions to remote instruction, and the suspension of co-curricular and experiential learning collectively resulted in the emergence of what scholars and policymakers now describe as the *"Lost Academic Generation."* This cohort is characterized not only by short-term academic disruption but by sustained learning regression, disengagement from formal education, and diminished long-term employability prospects.

Existing literature emphasizes that the pandemic-induced educational shock extended far beyond a temporary pause in instruction. Rather, it produced enduring cognitive, social, and economic consequences that are likely to persist across the life course of affected learners (UNICEF, 2021; World Economic Forum [WEF], 2022). In developing economies such as India, these effects were particularly acute due to structural constraints including digital inequality, limited institutional preparedness for online pedagogy, and socio-economic vulnerabilities among large segments of the student population. As a result, the crisis disproportionately affected students who were already at risk, transforming education systems from potential equalizers into mechanisms that reinforced inequality.

2.1.1 Quantifiable Learning Loss

A growing body of empirical research provides quantifiable evidence of the academic regression experienced during the pandemic period. Large-scale panel studies conducted across multiple Indian states reveal significant learning deficits in foundational academic domains. Singh et al. (2022) report that after approximately 18 months of school closures, students were, on average, **0.7 standard deviations behind in mathematics** and **0.34 standard deviations behind in language skills** when compared with age-matched cohorts assessed in the pre-pandemic period.

From a pedagogical perspective, these deficits are substantial, corresponding to an estimated **one to two years of lost schooling**. Such learning loss is not easily reversible, particularly in cumulative subjects like mathematics and literacy, where mastery of foundational concepts is essential for advanced learning. The literature suggests that without targeted remedial interventions, early learning deficits tend to compound over time, resulting in long-term academic underperformance and increased dropout risks.

Crucially, learning loss during the pandemic exhibited a **regressive and unequal pattern**. Students from rural regions, lower-income households, and marginalized social groups experienced significantly greater setbacks than their urban and economically privileged peers (Singh et al., 2022). Limited access to digital devices, unreliable internet connectivity, lower parental educational support, and reduced exposure to structured remote instruction intensified pre-existing educational disparities. Rather than merely slowing learning trajectories, the pandemic widened achievement gaps, reinforcing structural inequities embedded within the education system.

2.1.2 Dropout Rates and the Digital Divide

Beyond measurable learning loss, the pandemic triggered a marked increase in educational disengagement and dropout rates, particularly at the secondary and tertiary levels. Economic uncertainty, loss of household income, and the need to contribute to family livelihoods compelled many students to exit formal education prematurely. Estimates indicate that **millions of Indian students disengaged permanently from the education system** during the pandemic years, raising serious concerns about long-term human capital erosion (TeamLease EdTech, 2022).

The **digital divide** emerged as a critical structural determinant of both learning loss and dropout behavior. While online education was rapidly adopted as an emergency response, access to digital infrastructure remained highly unequal. Approximately **48% of university students from rural households lacked reliable internet access at home**, severely limiting their ability to participate in synchronous classes, access digital learning materials, or engage in assessments (TeamLease EdTech, 2022; UNICEF, 2021). Even among those with nominal access, issues related to shared devices, low bandwidth, and inadequate digital literacy further constrained effective participation.

This technological exclusion transformed remote education from a solution into a mechanism of systemic marginalization. Students unable to engage meaningfully with online learning environments experienced heightened frustration, disengagement, and academic anxiety, ultimately increasing the likelihood of dropout. The literature underscores that digital inequality during the pandemic not only restricted access to education but also reshaped students' perceptions of its relevance and returns, particularly among economically vulnerable groups.

2.1.3 Employability and Skills Mismatch

The educational disruption caused by the pandemic coincided with the widespread suspension of experiential learning opportunities that traditionally bridge academia and the labor market. Internships, apprenticeships, laboratory work, industry projects, and campus recruitment activities were significantly curtailed, depriving students of critical exposure to real-world work environments. Consequently, graduates entering the labor market face an intensified **skills mismatch**, wherein academic credentials fail to translate into job-ready competencies (WEF, 2022).

Employers increasingly report deficits in **21st-century skills**, including critical thinking, communication, adaptability, teamwork, and applied problem-solving (British Council, n.d.). These competencies, which are essential for navigating dynamic and technology-driven workplaces, were particularly underdeveloped during prolonged periods of remote learning and social isolation. As a result, many graduates experience unemployment or underemployment, despite possessing formal qualifications.

This disconnect highlights a fundamental limitation of education recovery efforts that focus solely on academic remediation. The literature argues for a broader reconceptualization of post-pandemic recovery one that integrates academic catch-up with employability-oriented skill development and workforce alignment. Without such integration, the Lost Academic Generation risks long-term economic vulnerability and reduced social mobility.

2.2 Reskilling and Upskilling for the Future of Work

In response to the structural damage inflicted on education and training systems, scholarly and policy discourse increasingly converges on **reskilling and upskilling** as central mechanisms for recovery and resilience. Reskilling involves equipping individuals with entirely new competencies to enable transitions into emerging occupational roles, while upskilling focuses on enhancing existing skills to support career progression, adaptability, and long-term employability (Schwab & Zahidi, 2020).

The urgency of these strategies is amplified by the accelerating transformation of the global labor market under **Industry 4.0**, characterized by automation, artificial intelligence, digital platforms, and rapid technological change.

The World Economic Forum (2023) and the Adecco Group (2022) identify a growing demand for competencies centered on **digital literacy, analytical reasoning, collaboration, self-management, creativity, and cognitive flexibility**. These skills are increasingly viewed as foundational rather than supplementary, cutting across sectors and occupational levels.

For the Lost Academic Generation, reskilling and upskilling interventions must be **rapid, industry-aligned, scalable, and accessible**. Traditional, time-intensive educational pathways are often ill-suited to address urgent skill deficits or accommodate learners who have exited formal education. Effective responses therefore require modular, flexible, and outcome-oriented learning models that integrate technical, digital, and soft skills while maintaining strong linkages with labor market needs.

The literature emphasizes that reskilling and upskilling should not be treated as peripheral policy tools but as core components of national education and employment strategies. When effectively designed and implemented, these interventions have the potential to compensate for pandemic-induced learning loss, restore employability, and reposition the Lost Academic Generation as a resilient and adaptive workforce for the future economy.

3. Government vs. Private Initiatives: The Indian Landscape

India's post-pandemic skilling ecosystem reflects a pronounced strategic dichotomy between **government-led** and **private-sector-led** interventions aimed at addressing the widening skills deficit of the Lost Academic Generation. While both sectors recognize the urgency of reskilling and upskilling in response to pandemic-induced learning loss, their approaches diverge significantly in terms of objectives, implementation models, target populations, and outcome orientation. A critical examination of these contrasting strategies provides insight into their relative effectiveness and limitations within the Indian socio-economic context.

3.1 Government Initiatives: Focus on Scale, Equity, and Foundational Skills

The Government of India (GoI) has positioned skill development as a central pillar of post-pandemic recovery and long-term economic growth. Through institutional mechanisms such as the **Ministry of Skill Development and Entrepreneurship (MSDE)** and the **National Skill Development Corporation (NSDC)**, the government has adopted a model that prioritizes **scale, inclusivity, and foundational skill recovery**, particularly for populations most adversely affected by educational disruption.

Government-led initiatives are designed to address structural inequities by ensuring access to skilling opportunities for school dropouts, unemployed youth, informal sector workers, and learners from rural and economically marginalized backgrounds. This approach aligns with broader national policy objectives, including demographic dividend realization and inclusive development. However, the emphasis on reach and access often necessitates standardized curricula and delivery models, which may limit responsiveness to rapidly evolving industry skill demands.

3.1.1 Key Government Initiatives

Table 1 Major Government-Led Reskilling Initiatives in India

Initiative	Core Strategy	Effectiveness for the Lost Academic Generation
Pradhan Mantri Kaushal Vikas Yojana (PMKVY)	Subsidized short-term vocational training and Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL).	High scale and equity; provides a safety net for dropouts and unemployed youth but exhibits lag in advanced technology training and modest placement outcomes (SuperKalam, n.d.).
Skill India Digital Hub (SIDH)	Digital public infrastructure integrating learning, certification, and job discovery.	Enhances accessibility and ecosystem integration; challenges include technical complexity and uneven private-sector adoption (Interaction Labs, n.d.).
Skill Impact Bond	Outcomes-based financing linked to verified employment and retention.	Improves accountability and job alignment; demonstrates effective public–private collaboration (Press Information Bureau [PIB], 2024).

Among these, **PMKVY** represents the flagship skilling initiative, focusing on short-term training programs and Recognition of Prior Learning (RPL) to certify informal and experiential skills. For the Lost Academic Generation, PMKVY functions as a critical **re-entry mechanism** into structured learning and employment pathways, particularly for individuals who permanently exited formal education during the pandemic.

The **Skill India Digital Hub (SIDH)** represents a strategic shift toward building a **digital public infrastructure (DPI)** for skills, consolidating learning content, credentialing, and employment services onto a unified platform. By offering multilingual access and integration with apprenticeships and job portals, SIDH aims to mitigate the digital divide and streamline learner transitions from training to employment.

The **Skill Impact Bond** introduces an innovative outcomes-based financing model, wherein private investors and training providers are remunerated only upon verified job placement and retention. This initiative marks a departure from input-driven funding models and reflects an increasing emphasis on accountability, performance measurement, and employer alignment.

3.1.2 Assessment of Government Initiatives

Government-led programs play an indispensable role in **foundational repair, certification, and social inclusion**, particularly for learners most marginalized by the pandemic. Their principal strength lies in their **ability to operate at national scale**, supported by public funding, policy backing, and institutional legitimacy.

However, empirical evidence suggests that employment outcomes remain inconsistent. Placement rates for certain PMKVY cohorts have been reported at approximately **21%**, raising concerns regarding training quality, curriculum relevance, and employer engagement (SuperKalam, n.d.). Critics argue that standardized training modules, slow curriculum updates, and limited industry co-design contribute to a persistent mismatch between skills imparted and labor market requirements.

Thus, while government initiatives are essential for addressing access and equity, they often struggle to keep pace with the rapid evolution of digital and high-skill occupations.

3.2 Indian MNC Initiatives: Focus on Specialization, Agility, and AI Readiness

In contrast to government-led models, major Indian multinational corporations (MNCs) adopt a **demand-driven skilling approach**, shaped directly by real-time business requirements and global technology trends. Corporate initiatives are inherently **market-oriented**, emphasizing speed, specialization, and technological relevance over scale and inclusivity.

These initiatives are particularly effective in addressing **advanced digital skills**, including artificial intelligence, cloud computing, cybersecurity, and data analytics—competencies that are central to Industry 4.0 and largely absent from traditional education curricula.

3.2.1 Corporate-Led Skilling Models

Tata Consultancy Services (TCS).

TCS has implemented one of the largest corporate-led reskilling efforts globally, targeting over **300,000 employees** for AI and GenAI training by FY24. Through immersive learning environments such as the **AI Experience Zone**, TCS emphasizes experiential, project-based learning aligned with client-specific use cases. This model enables rapid skill deployment and continuous updating, ensuring workforce readiness in a fast-changing technological landscape (Tata Group, 2024).

Infosys (Infosys Springboard).

Infosys extends its skilling ecosystem beyond internal employees through **Infosys Springboard**, a digital learning platform offering curated, AI-audited learning pathways. Programs such as **Reskill** and **Restart** explicitly target pandemic-affected learners by providing role-specific training in emerging domains such as cloud computing, cybersecurity, and data analytics. The platform's modular design allows learners to acquire industry-recognized competencies aligned with specific job roles (Infosys, n.d.).

3.2.2 Assessment of Private-Sector Initiatives

Private-sector skilling initiatives demonstrate clear superiority in terms of **agility, specialization, and technological relevance**. Their curricula are continuously updated to reflect the rapidly shrinking half-life of digital skills, which has been estimated at fewer than five years for many technology domains (Wadhwani Foundation, 2025).

However, the primary limitation of corporate-led initiatives lies in their **restricted reach**. These programs typically target existing employees, new recruits, or learners who already possess a baseline level of education and digital access. Consequently, the most marginalized segments of the Lost Academic Generation—school dropouts, rural youth, and individuals lacking foundational skills—remain largely excluded from these high-value training opportunities.

3.3 Comparative Conclusion: The Superiority of Public–Private Partnerships (PPPs)

The comparative analysis underscores that government and private-sector initiatives possess complementary strengths and limitations. Government programs excel in scale, equity, and foundational inclusion, while private-sector interventions outperform in agility, specialization, and industry alignment. Neither approach, in isolation, is sufficient to address the multidimensional challenges faced by the Lost Academic Generation.

Public–Private Partnerships (PPPs) emerge as the most effective and sustainable model for large-scale reskilling and upskilling. Initiatives such as the **India Skills Accelerator** and the **Skill Impact Bond** demonstrate how government infrastructure and policy support can be integrated with private-sector expertise, assessment rigor, and employment linkage.

By aligning public funding and reach with private innovation and labor market responsiveness, PPPs enable the creation of a **cohesive, outcome-oriented skilling ecosystem**. Such collaboration is essential to transform post-pandemic educational disruption into an opportunity for workforce renewal and long-term economic resilience.

4. Recommendations and Future Directions

To sustainably reskill the *Lost Academic Generation* and align India's human capital with the evolving demands of **Industry 4.0**, a **unified, collaborative, and outcome-oriented national strategy** is essential. The following recommendations outline key strategic interventions that address structural gaps in hiring practices, skill development, credentialing, and foundational learning recovery.

1. Mandate Skills-Based Hiring (Systemic Labor Market Reform)

[Priority: High | Impact: Structural | Stakeholders: Government, Employers, Industry Bodies]

A fundamental barrier confronting the Lost Academic Generation is the continued reliance on **degree-centric hiring practices**, which disproportionately disadvantage learners who experienced pandemic-induced educational disruption. To mitigate this exclusion, **skills-based hiring must be institutionalized as a national norm**.

- The government should **incentivize private-sector adoption of skills-based hiring** through tax benefits, public procurement preferences, and recognition frameworks for employers who prioritize verifiable competencies over formal degrees (WEF, 2022).
- Recruitment processes should shift toward **competency-based assessments**, including skill tests, work simulations, and portfolio evaluations.
- Government-certified and industry-validated micro-credentials must be formally recognized as equivalent pathways to employment, especially in digital, technical, and service-sector roles.

Rationale: Skills-based hiring expands labor market access for learners who acquired competencies through alternative pathways, reduces credential inflation, and improves workforce diversity and productivity.

2. Integrate Soft Skills Across All Reskilling Programs (Human Capability Development)

[Priority: High | Impact: Long-Term Employability | Stakeholders: Training Providers, Employers, Policymakers]

While technical skills are critical, the pandemic significantly eroded **soft skills and socio-emotional competencies** due to prolonged isolation, limited peer interaction, and reduced experiential learning. Reskilling efforts that neglect these dimensions risk producing technically competent but workplace-inadequate graduates.

- All government and private reskilling programs must **explicitly embed soft skills training**, including:
  - Communication and interpersonal effectiveness
  - Collaboration and teamwork
  - Self-management, adaptability, and stress tolerance
  - Complex problem-solving and decision-making
- Soft skills should be integrated through **experiential pedagogies**, such as group projects, simulations, case-based learning, and reflective practice, rather than treated as standalone modules.
- Assessment frameworks must evaluate **behavioral and cognitive competencies**, not merely technical knowledge acquisition.

Rationale: Employers increasingly value transferable skills that enable adaptability in volatile work environments. Integrating soft skills strengthens long-term employability and career resilience.

3. Strengthen SIDH as a National Skills Passport (Credentialing and Trust Infrastructure)

[Priority: Medium–High | Impact: System Integration | Stakeholders: Government, Industry, Training Platforms]

The fragmentation of credentials across government schemes, corporate platforms, and private training providers undermines learner mobility and employer trust. To address this, the **Skill India Digital Hub (SIDH)** must be institutionalized as **India's National Skills Passport**.

- SIDH should function as a **single-source, verifiable digital repository** for all formal and informal credentials, including:
  - Government certifications (e.g., PMKVY)
  - Corporate micro-credentials (e.g., Infosys Springboard, TCS programs)
  - Apprenticeship and work-based learning records
- Universal adoption of SIDH must be mandated across public and private stakeholders to ensure **credential interoperability and recognition**.
- Blockchain or secure verification mechanisms should be employed to enhance trust, portability, and international recognition of Indian skill credentials.

Rationale: A unified credentialing system reduces information asymmetry, enhances labor market transparency, and ensures that skills; regardless of where they are acquired, carry standardized value.

4. Prioritize Catch-Up Learning (Foundational Recovery and Equity)

[Priority: Critical | Impact: Intergenerational | Stakeholders: Government, Schools, NGOs]

Reskilling initiatives cannot succeed without addressing the **foundational learning deficits** caused by prolonged school closures. Evidence indicates an estimated **1–2 years of learning loss**, particularly in literacy and numeracy among primary and secondary learners.

- Government efforts must continue to **fund and scale targeted remedial programs**, focusing on:
  - Foundational literacy and numeracy
  - Early-grade learning recovery
  - Diagnostic assessments and personalized instruction
- Catch-up programs should adopt **high-dosage tutoring models**, community-based interventions, and technology-enabled adaptive learning tools.
- Special attention must be directed toward **rural and marginalized populations**, where learning loss was most severe.

Rationale: Foundational skills are cumulative. Without early remediation, learning deficits compound over time, undermining the effectiveness of future reskilling and workforce integration efforts.

Future Directions: Toward an Integrated National Skills Ecosystem

To effectively prepare the Lost Academic Generation for Industry 4.0, India must transition from fragmented interventions to a **cohesive, quality-assured national skills ecosystem** characterized by:

- **Policy alignment** between education, skilling, and employment frameworks
- **Public–private collaboration** that integrates scale with specialization
- **Outcome-based accountability** focused on employment, retention, and career progression

By institutionalizing skills-based hiring, embedding soft skills, establishing SIDH as a national skills passport, and prioritizing foundational catch-up learning, India can convert the challenge of the Lost Academic Generation into a **strategic economic advantage**.

Conclusion — Section 2.1: The Crisis of the "Lost Academic Generation"

In sum, the COVID-19 pandemic precipitated more than an ephemeral interruption in formal education; it engendered a *systemic rupture* in learning trajectories that threatens to reverberate across generations. The literature and empirical data collectively portray a crisis that transformed temporary school closures into *enduring academic regression*, intensified socio-economic inequities, and destabilized the foundational link between education and employability. National surveys and independent research underscore that a substantial majority of Indian students experienced significant setbacks in academic attainment during prolonged remote learning periods, with estimates of learning loss in higher education spanning between **40–60%** of expected competencies and potential recovery timelines extending multiple years into the future.

Crucially, this regression has not been uniformly distributed. Students from rural areas, economically disadvantaged households, and marginalized communities bore the disproportionate weight of instructional discontinuity and digital exclusion. A large share of learners lacked access to indispensable resources, with household surveys indicating that a significant proportion of children did not engage with any form of remote instruction due to the absence of devices or connectivity. This digital divide did not merely constrain participation in learning; it *reconfigured educational opportunities* into a mechanism of marginalization, particularly where infrastructure and socio-economic support were deficient.

The pandemic's consequences extended beyond immediate academic deficits to the erosion of the social and experiential dimensions of learning. Evidence suggests that less than half of students have regained age-appropriate learning levels post-reopening, highlighting not only the breadth of lost instruction but the challenge of *restoring cognitive momentum* once disrupted. Furthermore, the pandemic exacerbated dropout risks, especially among older learners and adolescent cohorts whose engagement with formal schooling became increasingly tenuous in the face of economic pressures and alternative livelihood imperatives, a trend that cultural and economic realities continue to accentuate.

The resultant mismatch between academic credentials and workforce expectations compounds this crisis, as employers and labor market analysts increasingly report that traditional degrees no longer assure competence in critical 21st-century skills such as critical thinking, collaboration, and digital literacy. This disconnect underscores a fundamental limitation of recovery efforts focused narrowly on academic remediation; without concurrently addressing skill misalignment and employability, educational recovery remains partial and precarious.

In conclusion, the crisis of the Lost Academic Generation in India is characterized not merely by quantifiable deficits in learning outcomes but by structural disruptions to *equity, opportunity, and human capital formation*. Any sustainable policy response must therefore transcend remedial catch-up alone and embrace a holistic recalibration of education systems that *reintegrates academic recovery with socio-economic inclusion and labor market relevance*. The evidence reviewed in this section lays the critical groundwork for the subsequent analysis of reskilling and upskilling strategies by highlighting the depth, complexity, and enduring nature of the educational damage wrought by the pandemic.

References

1. Adecco Group. (2022, April 12). Top 10 In-Demand Skills for 2025.
2. British Council. (n.d.). South ASiA And – Expanding Higher Education and Skills Provision.
3. Infosys. (n.d.). Infosys Springboard: Digital Learning and Reskilling Programs. Retrieved from [Source URL]
4. Interaction Labs. (n.d.). Skill India Digital Hub Case Study.
5. PIB (Press Information Bureau). (2024, September 11). Bridging India's Skill Gap, Empowering India's Workforce.
6. Schwab, K., & Zahidi, S. (2020). The Future of Jobs Report 2020. World Economic Forum.
7. Singh, A., Romero, M., & Varma, V. (2022). COVID-19 Learning Loss and Recovery: Panel Data Evidence from India. National Bureau of Economic Research (NBER) Working Paper Series, (w30552).
8. SuperKalam. (n.d.). Skill India Mission: Challenges, Digital Reforms & Bharat Skill Initiatives.
9. Tata Group. (2024). Reskilling For The Future. Retrieved from [Source URL]
10. TeamLease EdTech. (2022). COVID-19 Learning Loss in Higher Education Report.
11. UNICEF. (2021, September 9). Repeated school closures due to COVID-19 leading to learning loss and widening inequities in South Asia, UNICEF research shows.
12. Wadhwani Foundation. (2025). Digital Transformation Skills And Challenges in India.
13. WEF (World Economic Forum). (2022, October 26). How can India prepare its youth for the future of work?
14. WEF (World Economic Forum). (2023). Skills-gap crisis: 4 ways the public and private sectors can join forces to reskill workforces at scale.

Use for Citation: Ms. Himani Bagga, Jasnoor Kaur, Sukhman Kaur. (2025). RESKILLING & UPSKILLING STRATEGIES FOR THE "LOST ACADEMIC GENERATION". International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research and Technology, 6(12), 102–110. https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.18016200