

THE IMPACT OF SOCIO-ECONOMIC FACTORS ON JUVENILE DELINQUENCY IN INDIA: A COMPREHENSIVE ANALYSIS

Mahima¹, Dr. Anusuya Yadav²

¹Research Scholar, Faculty of Law, MDU Rohtak

²Professor, Faculty of Law, MDU Rohtak

ABSTRACT

Juvenile delinquency (crimes committed by children) in India is not just a legal problem, it is a result of deep social and economic issues. This paper provides a comprehensive analysis of the factors driving youth crime, specifically examining how poverty, family instability, and organized gang culture intersect to create a “perfect storm” for children in urban clusters. While the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, and the newly implemented Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, have introduced stricter “retributive” measures, such as the transfer of 16-to-18-year-olds to adult courts under Section 15, this research argues that the legal system primarily addresses the “symptom” while ignoring the “disease.”

Through a case study of urban slums in New Delhi, the paper highlights an “Implementation Gap,” where preliminary assessments often become procedural formalities that overlook a child’s environmental trauma and neurological immaturity. It explores the “Shield Strategy” used by organized crime syndicates to exploit minors, further complicating their path to rehabilitation. The study concludes that the current focus on the “heinousness” of the act, rather than the vulnerability of the actor, fails to stop the cycle of crime. To move forward, the paper suggests mandatory “Poverty Audits,” specialized vocational training, and aggressive prosecution of adult gang leaders. Ultimately, the research advocates for a shift from a purely punitive framework toward a restorative model that ensures a child’s socio-economic background does not permanently dictate their future.

Keywords: Juvenile Delinquency, Socio-Economic Factors, Poverty Cycle, Gang Influence, Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), Slums.

1. INTRODUCTION

Juvenile delinquency is a term used to describe illegal actions committed by individuals who are not yet old enough to be considered legal adults. In the Indian legal context, according to the Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, any person below the age of 18 is considered a “child.”¹ When such a child breaks the law, they are formally referred to as a “Child in Conflict with the Law” (CICL). While the term “delinquency” sounds technical, in reality, it covers a wide range of behaviors from minor acts like petty theft or truancy to serious and “heinous” offenses like robbery or assault.

The fundamental question that every legal system faces is, *Why do children commit crimes?* For a long time, society believed that criminals were simply “bad people.” However, modern research has shifted this view. We now understand that a child is like a sponge, absorbing everything from their immediate surroundings. If a child is placed in a healthy, supportive environment with access to education and love, they are likely to grow into a law-abiding citizen. Conversely, if a child is born into a world of hunger, violence, and neglect, their chances of entering the world of crime increase significantly.² This brings us to the core of the problem is that while our legal system is quick to focus on the “punishment” of the act, it often ignores the “root cause” of the behavior.

The “Problem” in India today is the growing gap between the law on paper and the reality on the street. Following the 2012 Delhi gang-rape case, the Indian legislature felt immense pressure from the public to be “tough on crime.” This resulted in the 2015 Amendment, which introduced the possibility of trying 16-to-18-year-olds as adults for heinous crimes. However, this focus on “retributive justice” or the idea that the punishment must match the pain of the crime that fails to account for the fact that a juvenile’s brain is still developing.³ Most importantly, it fails to recognize that the “cause” of the crime is usually the child’s environment. In cities like New Delhi, a child living in

¹ Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (Act 2 of 2016).

² Asha Bajpai, *Child Rights in India: Law, Policy, and Practice* (3rd edn., Oxford University Press 2017).

³ Laurence Steinberg, “Adolescent Development and Juvenile Justice” *5 Annual Review of Clinical Psychology* 47 (2009).

a slum does not have the same “freedom of choice” as a child living in a wealthy neighborhood. For the poor child, crime is often not a choice, it is a forced outcome of their socio-economic situation.

This brings us to the specific drivers of delinquency which are poverty and gang influence. Poverty is not just a lack of money, it is a lack of opportunity. When a family cannot provide two meals a day, a child may drop out of school to help earn money. Without the structure of a school, these children spend their time on the streets, where they are easily targeted by organized criminal gangs. In New Delhi, these gangs act as “alternative families.” They provide the child with the two things they crave most, financial income and a sense of belonging. The gangs are clever, they use children to carry out illegal activities because they know that children are protected by the Juvenile Justice Act and will face shorter sentences than adults. In this way, the child becomes a victim of the system twice, first by being born poor, and second by being exploited by criminals.

The objective of this research paper is to provide a comprehensive analysis of these socio-economic factors. It seeks to explore how deep-rooted poverty and the toxic influence of gangs drive children into the arms of the law. Furthermore, this paper will examine how the Indian legal system responds to these children. With the recent introduction of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, it is crucial to analyze whether our new criminal laws are equipped to handle the sociological roots of crime or if they are simply creating more “jail space” for the victims of poverty. The ultimate goal of this study is to argue that we cannot “punish” our way out of juvenile delinquency, we must instead “reform” the environment that creates it.

2. THE SOCIO-ECONOMIC ROOTS OF CRIME (THE “WHY”)

To understand why a child commits a crime, we must look beyond the courtroom and into the streets where they live. Delinquency is rarely the result of a single “bad choice”, rather, it is the result of a long chain of social and economic problems that trap a child.

• The Poverty Cycle

Poverty is the most powerful force driving juvenile delinquency in India. It is not just a lack of money, it is a lack of “protective factors” like good food, stable housing, and quality education. Poverty creates a “cycle” that is almost impossible for a child to break without outside help. When a family lives in extreme poverty, the immediate need for survival becomes more important than long-term goals like education.

In the slums of New Delhi, the “Poverty Cycle” usually begins with a child dropping out of school. When parents are daily-wage laborers, the child is often seen as an “extra hand” to earn money. Once a child is out of the structured environment of a school, they lose their sense of discipline and purpose. This is where the danger begins. Without school, a child has 10 to 12 hours of empty time every day. In a poor neighborhood, that time is usually spent on the street, where they observe criminal behavior daily.⁴

Poverty also leads to “survival crimes.” A child may steal a loaf of bread, a mobile phone, or copper wires not out of a desire to be a criminal, but because they are hungry or need to pay for a family member’s medicine. Unfortunately, once a child is caught for a small “survival crime,” they are labeled as a “delinquent” by society.⁵ This label makes it even harder for them to go back to school or find an honest job later, effectively locking them into a life of crime. The “Scientific Gap” in our law is that we punish the child for stealing, but we do not punish the system that left that child hungry.

• Family Environment: The Foundation of Behavior

The family is the first “school” where a child learns right from wrong. In many urban slums (Bastis), the family structure is under immense pressure, which directly affects the child’s behavior. The home is supposed to be a place of safety, but for many children in conflict with the law, it is a place of trauma.

The impact of “Broken Homes” is a major factor. When parents are separated, or when one parent is absent due to work, addiction, or imprisonment, the child lacks a consistent “moral compass.” Furthermore, domestic violence plays a massive role. A child who grows up watching their father beat their mother learns that “might is right.”

⁴ National Crime Records Bureau, *Crime in India 2022* (Ministry of Home Affairs 2023).

⁵ K.P.S. Mahalwar, "Juvenile Delinquency: A Socio-Legal Study" 21 *Journal of the Indian Law Institute* 522 (1979).

They begin to believe that aggression and violence are the only ways to solve problems or gain respect in the world. This “normalization of violence” is very hard to unlearn.

Another critical issue is the lack of parental supervision. In the high-cost economy of a city like Delhi, both parents often work multiple low-paying jobs. This leaves the child unsupervised for most of the day. Without anyone to check their homework, ask about their friends, or provide emotional support, the child naturally looks for a sense of belonging outside the home. Psychologically, every child needs to feel “seen” and “valued.” If they do not get this feeling from their parents, they will try to get it from the local gang leader who promises them status and protection. In this way, family neglect acts as a “bridge” to the world of crime.

• Peer Pressure and Gang Influence

In the crowded colonies of cities like Delhi, the street corner often becomes the child's primary social circle. This is where “organized delinquency” begins. Peer pressure is strong for any teenager, but for a poor child, it is a matter of survival.

Local gangs in New Delhi act as “Alternative Families.” They provide the child with the three things they crave most are financial income, a sense of identity, and physical protection. For a child who has been bullied or who feels powerless because they are poor, joining a gang makes them feel powerful. The gang leader (often called a 'Dada' or 'Bhai') acts as a father figure, providing the child with small rewards like a meal, a branded T-shirt, or a few hundred rupees in exchange for small criminal tasks.

The most dangerous part of this relationship is the “Shield Strategy” used by organized crime syndicates. Gang leaders are very aware of the Juvenile Justice Act. They know that if they send a 15-year-old to snatch a gold chain or deliver a packet of drugs, the child will face a much lighter punishment than an adult would. Gangs specifically target children who are poor and have no family support because these children are “expendable.” They are used as “shields” to carry out the gang's illegal work.⁶ By the time the child realizes they are being used, they are already deep in the criminal system with a police record, making it nearly impossible for them to leave the gang.

3. THE LEGAL FRAMEWORK IN INDIA

While the socio-economic environment explains the “why” behind juvenile crime, the legal framework determines the “how” of the state’s response. In India, this response has shifted from a purely rehabilitative approach to a “dual-track” system.

The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015, is the primary law governing children in conflict with the law. The most controversial and critical part of this Act is Section 15, which was introduced following the 2012 Delhi gang-rape case. Under Section 15, if a child aged between 16 and 18 years commits a “heinous” crime (one that carries a minimum punishment of seven years for adults), the Juvenile Justice Board (JJB) must conduct a preliminary assessment.⁷ The goal is to determine if the child had the mental and physical capacity to commit the offense and if they understood the consequences of their actions.⁸

If the JJB decides that the child possessed “adult-like” maturity, the case is transferred to a Children’s Court (which is a Sessions Court). Here, the child can be tried as an adult. This is a massive shift from the earlier philosophy where every person under 18 was treated as a child, regardless of the crime.⁹

The “test” under Section 15 is often criticized for being subjective. It relies heavily on the reports of psychologists and probation officers. In many cases, these experts are expected to judge a child’s maturity after just one or two meetings. There is a “Scientific Gap” because there are no standardized tools in India to perfectly measure if a 16-year-old’s brain is as mature as an adult’s. This often leads to “judicial guessing,” where the decision depends more on the anger surrounding the crime than the actual maturity of the child.

⁶ Ibid.

⁷ Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act, 2015 (Act 2 of 2016), s. 15.

⁸ National Commission for Protection of Child Rights (NCPCR), *Guidelines for Conducting Preliminary Assessment under Section 15 of the JJ Act, 2015* (2023).

⁹ *Barun Chandra Thakur v. Master Bholu*, 2022 SCC OnLine SC 870.

The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, which has replaced the Indian Penal Code (IPC), brings new challenges to the juvenile justice landscape. While the BNS does not change the age of adulthood, it introduces new definitions and stricter punishments that indirectly affect children, especially those caught in the “Gang Influence” described in the previous chapter.

Section 111 of the BNS defines “organized crime” very broadly. This includes kidnapping, robbery, and land grabbing committed by a syndicate.¹⁰ Gangs in Delhi often use children as their primary agents for these tasks. Under the BNS, being part of an organized crime syndicate carries much heavier penalties. There is a risk that juveniles who are “victims” of gang recruitment might be labeled as “members” of an organized crime group, making their rehabilitation much harder. The BNS also introduces Section 112, which covers “petty organized crime” like snatching and pocket-picking.¹¹ Since these are the most common crimes committed by juveniles in urban slums, the police now have more power to track these “repetitive” offenders. While the goal is to stop crime, for a juvenile, this could mean a permanent criminal label at a very young age.

The BNS and the new procedural law, the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita (BNSS), emphasize “speedy justice.” However, for a juvenile, “speed” should not come at the cost of “safety.” The new laws focus heavily on digital evidence and police efficiency, but they do not add new protections for children who are coerced by adults into joining criminal syndicates.

The legal framework in India is becoming increasingly “tough.” Section 15 of the JJ Act creates a door for children to be treated as adults, and the BNS ensures that organized criminal activities even petty ones are punished severely. The danger is that the law is becoming so focused on the “crime” that it is losing sight of the “child” and the socio-economic pressures that forced them into the hands of gangs in the first place.

4. THE IMPLEMENTATION GAP (THE PROBLEM)

Even the best-written laws can fail if they are not applied correctly on the ground. This “Implementation Gap” is the distance between what the law says should happen and what actually happens in the Juvenile Justice Boards (JJBs) across India. While Section 15 was intended to be a careful, scientific evaluation of a child’s mind, in practice, it often becomes a rigid and unfair process.¹²

The biggest problem with the “Preliminary Assessment” is that it is highly subjective. The law asks the JJB to decide if a child has the “mental capacity” of an adult. However, there is no fixed formula or perfect medical test to prove this. Ideally, a psychologist should look at the child’s entire life—their poverty, their lack of schooling, and the violence they saw at home. In reality, psychologists and JJB members often ignore these “background” factors. They treat a child from a wealthy family and a child from a New Delhi slum exactly the same, even though the poor child never had the same moral or educational guidance. In busy cities, the JJBs are overwhelmed with cases. Psychologists are often forced to submit reports after just one or two short meetings with the child. It is impossible to truly understand a 16-year-old’s complex mental state in such a short time. This leads to “guesswork,” where the report depends more on the personal opinion of the psychologist than on scientific facts. Many judicial officers and psychologists are not trained in “Adolescent Neurobiology”, the science of how a teenager’s brain works. They may mistake a child’s silence for “cold-bloodedness” or their fear for “guilt,” without realizing that a teenager’s brain is naturally more impulsive and less capable of thinking about long-term consequences than an adult’s brain.

The second major problem is that the legal system has started focusing entirely on the “Act” (the crime) while completely forgetting the “Actor” (the child). When a “heinous” crime occurs, like a murder or a serious assault, there is usually a lot of media attention and public anger. This pressure often reaches the courtroom. Instead of asking, “*Is this child mature enough to be tried as an adult?*” the court often asks, “*How terrible was this crime?*” If the crime was violent, the court is much more likely to send the child to an adult jail, regardless of their actual mental maturity or their poor background.¹³ The court rarely asks *how* or *why* the child was forced into the crime. For example, if an organized gang threatens a 17-year-old’s family to make him deliver drugs or join a fight, the

¹⁰ The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Act 45 of 2023), s. 111.

¹¹ The Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 (Act 45 of 2023), s. 112.

¹² Ved Kumari, *The Juvenile Justice (Care and Protection of Children) Act 2015 - A Commentary* (Universal Law Publishing 2017).

¹³ Enakshi Ganguly Thukral, “Juvenile Justice: The New Law” 51 *Economic and Political Weekly* 18 (2016).

court often views the boy as a “criminal” rather than a “victim of coercion.” By focusing only on the “heinousness” of the murder or robbery, the law ignores the fact that the child might have acted out of fear, hunger, or extreme peer pressure. Because of this focus on the crime, the Preliminary Assessment under Section 15 has become a “procedural formality.” Instead of being a shield that protects children from being wrongly sent to adult jails, it has become a gateway to harsher punishment. The law was meant to save children who could be reformed, but by focusing only on the “Act,” it is failing to see the child who is crying out for help behind the crime. We are judging children by adult standards without giving them adult opportunities. We are punishing them for the final “Act” while ignoring the “Socio-Economic History” that led them there.

5. CASE STUDY: URBAN SLUMS IN NEW DELHI

To truly understand the “Implementation Gap,” one must look at the ground reality of the urban slums, or *Bastis*, of New Delhi. These areas serve as the primary “catchment zones” for the city's juvenile justice system. The environment in these clusters creates a unique set of pressures that make delinquent behavior almost inevitable for many children.

New Delhi's slums are among the most densely populated areas in the world. In colonies like those in North-East Delhi or Outer Delhi, entire families of five or six people often live in a single 10-by-10-foot room.¹⁴ Because the homes are so small and overcrowded, children spend almost all their waking hours on the street. The street becomes their playground, their school, and their social hub. In such high-density areas, there is no privacy. A child is constantly exposed to everything happening in the neighborhood including gambling, substance abuse, and physical fights. This constant exposure desensitizes the child to illegal activities from a very young age.¹⁵

While the government has many schemes on paper, the actual “support system” inside these slums is often missing. Although there are government schools nearby, they are often overcrowded and lack quality. For a child struggling with poverty, there is no one in the *Basti* to encourage them to stay in school or provide extra tutoring. There are almost no parks, libraries, or community centers where a child can spend their time productively. When a child has no place to play sports or learn a skill, they naturally gravitate toward “hanging out” on street corners, which is the first step toward joining a delinquent peer group.¹⁶

In the absence of strong state presence or positive role models, the “Local Dada” or gang leader becomes the most influential figure in the neighborhood. To a child who has grown up seeing their father struggle as a laborer, the local gang leader looks successful. He has money, a motorcycle, expensive mobile phones, and “power” over the police and neighbors.¹⁷ These leaders actively recruit young boys to serve as their eyes and ears. A child might start by delivering a small package (often drugs) or keeping a lookout for the police. In return, they get a small amount of cash or “protection.” In a slum, being under the protection of a “Dada” means you won't be bullied by other kids. For a vulnerable child, this sense of safety is very addictive. By the time the child realizes they are part of a criminal syndicate, they are already involved in “snatching” or “assault” cases, making them a permanent part of the JJB files.¹⁸

In New Delhi, the *Basti* is not just a place where poor people live, it is an ecosystem that currently feeds the juvenile crime rate. The law treats the child as an independent criminal, but the reality is that the child is a product of this high-pressure, high-risk environment.

6. CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTIONS

The study of juvenile delinquency in India reveals a painful truth that a child is rarely a criminal by choice, but rather by circumstance. As explored in this paper, delinquency is not merely a legal failure but a social symptom. A child entering the justice system is often someone who has been trapped in a Poverty Cycle, nurtured in a Traumatic Home, and eventually exploited by an Organized Gang. While the new laws, including the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita (BNS), 2023, provide stricter procedures, they primarily address the “symptom” (the criminal act)

¹⁴ National Institute of Urban Affairs (NIUA), *Urban Slums in Delhi: A Socio-Economic Profile* (2022).

¹⁵ Delhi Commission for Protection of Child Rights (DCPCR), *Annual Report on Juveniles in Urban Clusters* (2023).

¹⁶ Ibid.

¹⁷ Ibid.

¹⁸ K.P.S. Mahalwar, "Juvenile Delinquency: A Socio-Legal Study" 21 *Journal of the Indian Law Institute* 522 (1979).

while leaving the “disease” (the socio-economic causes) untouched. If we do not give these children a better reason to live through education, safety, and opportunity, they will inevitably continue to seek their purpose in the world of crime.

The central finding of this research is that poverty remains the most significant driver of juvenile crime in India. Financial distress leads to school dropouts, which in turn leaves children vulnerable to street culture. In the urban slums of New Delhi, the lack of basic resources makes “survival crimes” a daily reality. The current legal framework, particularly the preliminary assessment under Section 15, fails because it treats the juvenile as an isolated individual with full “adult-like” freedom, ignoring the fact that their environment often leaves them with no real choices.

To move toward a system that truly rehabilitates rather than just punishes, the following changes are suggested:

- The Juvenile Justice Board (JJB) should be legally required to conduct a “Poverty and Environmental Audit” before making any decision. This report should look at the child's caloric intake, housing stability, and family debt. If a crime was committed out of basic necessity or extreme economic pressure, the focus must shift entirely from punishment to social support.
- Instead of sending juveniles to “Places of Safety” that often act like junior jails, the state should invest in high-quality vocational training centers. Teaching a child a marketable skill such as mobile repair, coding, or carpentry gives them a legal “exit path” from the world of crime and a way to break the poverty cycle for their family.
- The law must stop blaming the “shield” and start punishing the “swordsmen.” The BNS should be used to target the adult “Dadas” and gang leaders who recruit minors. There should be a mandatory minimum sentence of 10 years for any adult found to be using a minor for criminal activities. By neutralizing the adult handlers, we can dismantle the recruitment pipelines in our slums.
- We must revitalize *Basti*-level support by creating community centers that offer after-school tutoring and sports. When a child has a “pro-social” place to belong, the allure of a gang diminishes significantly.

In conclusion, the goal of the juvenile justice system should be to ensure that a child's “past” does not define their “future.” By addressing the socio-economic roots of crime, we can ensure that justice is not just about a prison sentence, but about giving a child a second chance at life.